

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/564,502	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAKAGI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JIE YANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

**All Participants:**

(1) JIE YANG.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Robert T. Pous.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2010

**Time:** 2:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*claim 3-an independent claim.*

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

*Segal (US 6,238,494 B1, thereafter, US'494)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant has amended the independent claim 1 to clarify the subject matter. The examiner notes that the similar amendment as claim 1 is also necessary for the independent claim 3. The Examiner further notes that typo errors in the instant claim 3. Authorization for an examiner's amendment was given in the interview. .